

S&H Form: (12/04)

**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No.	1572.1141
Application Number	10/652,209
Filing Date	September 2, 2003
First Named Inventor	Nam-il CHO et al.
Group Art Unit	2851

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

0.00

Examiner Name

Unassigned

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	22	- 26 =	0	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	3	- 3 =	0	X \$ 200.00 =	0.00

Since an Official Action set an original due date of February 22, 2005, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160)):

If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)

Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)

Total of above Calculations =

\$ 0.00

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

TOTAL FEES DUE =

\$ 0.00

(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".

(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".

(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".

(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below.
- ☒ No payment is enclosed and no charges to the Deposit Account are authorized at this time (unless specifically required to obtain a filing date).

GENERAL AUTHORIZATION

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to:
- Deposit Account No. 19-3935
- Deposit Account Name STAAS & HALSEY LLP
- ☒ The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.

SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Typed Name Michael A. Bush


Reg. No. 48,893

Signature

Date

22 Feb 2005

©2004 Staas & Halsey LLP



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

AF/ 2851
IFW
Application Serial No. 10/652,209

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2851
Docket No.: 1572.1141**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Nam-il CHO et al.

Serial No. 10/652,209

Group Art Unit: 2851

Confirmation No. 8613

Filed: September 2, 2003

Examiner: Unassigned

For: PROJECTION TELEVISION

RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE


Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: **BOX AF**

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed November 22, 2004, and having a period for response set to expire on February 22, 2005.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested.



Serial No. 10/652,209

Docket No.: 1572.1141

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Nam-il CHO et al.

Serial No. 10/652,209

Group Art Unit: 2851

Confirmation No. 8613

Filed: September 2, 2003

Examiner: Unassigned

For: PROJECTION TELEVISION

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW FINALITY OF THE OUTSTANDING OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed November 22, 2004, and having a period for response set to expire on February 22, 2005.

The outstanding Office Action, at page 9, item 10, states "Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP §706.07(a)."

MPEP §706.07(a) states that a "...second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement...." (Emphasis added).

In the Amendment filed October 22, 2004, the subject matter of claims 3 and 17 were incorporated into claims 1 and 16, respectively. Additionally, no Information Disclosure Statement was filed subsequent to the Amendment filed October 22, 2004 and prior to the issuance of the outstanding Office Action.


Therefore, since the Examiner previously conducted a search and considered (and rejected) claims 3 and 17, which respectively depended from claims 1 and 16, and which have been merely incorporated into claims 1 and 16, respectively, the Examiner's new grounds of rejection, which changed a §103 rejection to a §102 rejection citing the same reference, cannot be necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Finality of the outstanding Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 22 FEB 2005

By: 
Michael A. Bush
Registration No. 37,240

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501